4 [9].-Richard P. Brent, Tables Concerning Irregularities in the Distribution of Primes and Twin Primes, Computer Centre, Australian National University, Canberra, 1974, 11 computer sheets deposited in the UMT file.

These are the tables referred to repeatedly in Brent's paper [1]. The numbers $\pi(n), \pi_{2}(n)$ and $B^{*}(n)$ and

$$
r_{i}(n), s_{i}(n), R_{i}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right), \rho_{i}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $i=1,2,3$ are defined in [1]. They are listed in Table 1 for 533 values of $n$ :

$$
10^{4}\left(10^{4}\right) 10^{6}\left(10^{5}\right) 10^{7}\left(10^{6}\right) 10^{8}\left(10^{7}\right) 10^{9}\left(10^{8}\right) 10^{10}\left(10^{9}\right) 83 \cdot 10^{9}
$$

Table 2 (1 page long) lists $n, \pi_{2}(n), B(n)$, and $B^{*}(n)$ with some auxiliary functions for

$$
10^{5}\left(10^{5}\right) 10^{6}\left(10^{6}\right) 10^{7}\left(10^{7}\right) 10^{8}\left(10^{8}\right) 10^{9}\left(10^{9}\right) 10^{10}\left(10^{10}\right) 8 \cdot 10^{10}
$$

The author indicates that he has much more detailed tables and is continuing to $10^{11}$.
Section 3 of [1] ends with the same conclusion given earlier in our [2]: that the unpredictable fluctuations of $\pi_{2}(n)$ around the Hardy-Littlewood approximation makes it difficult to compute Brun's constant accurately. But his Fig. 3 allows for a posteriori judgment; although we do not know where $s_{3}(n)$ is going, we know where it's been! We see that Fröberg's low value at $\log _{10} n=6.02$, our high value at $\log _{10} n=7.51$ and Bohman's low value at $\log _{10} n=9.30$ all correlate (inversely) with the peaks and valleys of Fig. 3. In fact, Fig. 3 between $\log _{10} n=6.63$ and 7.19 gives a crude, distorted, upside-down version of our Fig. 1 [2] and $\log _{10} n$ between 7.19 and 7.51 continues with our Fig. 2. Thus, for Brun's constant, it does appear that $n=8 \cdot 10^{10}$ is a good time to quit since $s_{3}(n)$ is then very small.

Concerning the negative peaks in Brent's Fig. 1 at $\log _{10} n=8.04$ and 8.25 , it would be nice to know when they are exceeded. As Brent is aware, if a likely $n$ were known that is not too large, one could restart his tables of $r_{i}(n)$ and $s_{i}(n)$ for $i=$ 1,2 by computing a fiducial mark $\pi(n)$ by Lehmer's method.
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5 [9].-Carl-Erik Fröberg, Kummer's Förmodan, Lund University, 1973, 133 pages of computer output deposited in the UMT file.

The Kummer Sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \exp \left(2 \pi i n^{3} / p\right)=1+2 \sum_{n=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \cos \left(2 \pi n^{3} / p\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

